

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,283	05/29/2001	Herbert Jainek	178/49984	5170
23911	7590 10/04/2002			
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			EXAMINER	
			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	_
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		QT - 11				
: 3	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/865,283	JAINEK, HERBERT				
Offic Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Krishnan S Menon	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	September 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	na anianiha andar 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/a	, a) (d) as (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ata haya haan ragaiyad					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/865,283

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ernst et al (US 6,698,098).

Ernst (098) discloses a liquid filter comprising a housing, a cover to seal the housing (12-fig 1), a filter element (11-fig 1), a support body, inlet and outlet for the fluid (col 1 lines 39-48), a drain (17-fig 1) which is closed during normal operation and open when the when the filter element is removed, the seal of the drain opening activated by the support body of the filter element.

The supporting body has a spring action element sealing or opening the drain as in instant claim 4 (16, 17, 18-fig 1; fig 1 b-d).

2. Claims 1,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Baumann et al (US 5,688,396).

Baumann (396) teaches an oil filter (5 fig 2) with a housing, a cover (4-fig 2), a zigzag folded filter element having end discs (5-fig 2, col 4 lines 1-5) (instant claim 5), inlet and outlets and a drain with a valve with a spring action element (instant claim 4) that is actuated by the shoulder of the filter element, and which is closed during normal operation and opened when the filter is unscrewed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ernst (098) in view of WO (97/28880).

Ernst (098) discloses a liquid filter comprising a housing, accover, to seal the housing, a filter element, a support body, inlet and outlet for the fluid (col 1 lines 39-48), a drain (17-fig 1) which is closed during normal operation and open when the when the filter element is removed, the seal of the drain opening activated by the support body of the filter element.

Ernst (098) discloses a plate spring (fig 1d) which is actuated by the shoulder of the supporting body (instant claim 3), but does not teach the plate spring as being fixed at one end (fig 1d). WO(97/28880) teaches a plate spring seal in a drain port of an oil filter which is fixed at one end (instant claim 2). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention

Application/Control Number: 09/865,283

Art Unit: 1723

to use the teaching of WO (97/28880) in the teaching of Ernst (098) to fix the spring at one end as

Structure

alternate but equivalent for equivalent function.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Mack et al (US 5,098,559) also teaches an oil filter with a filter element actuated valve

action drain.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 703-305-5999. The examiner

can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and

703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Krishnan S. Menon Patent Examiner

September 25, 2002

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4